Permanent Building Committee Meeting of May 14, 2020

Online Meeting Via Zoom, YouTube, and Conference Line 7:30PM

Approved

A duly called and posted meeting of the Permanent Building Committee held via online mediums, 7:30PM, May 14, 2020.

PBC Present: T Goemaat (TG), D Grissino (DG), M King (MK), S Littlefield (SL), M. Tauer (MT)

Staff: S. Gagosian (SG), A. La Francesca (AL), K. Kennedy (KK)

Liaisons/Proponents: M. Freiman (BOS), N. Goins (NG-Advisory), S. Gray (ShG-SC), M. Martin (MM-SC),

Presenters: SMMA: A. Pitkin (AP), K. Olsen (KO), A. Oldeman (AO)

Compass: J. D'Amico (JD), L. Westman (LW)

Citizens speak

None

Hunnewell

- SMMA and Compass presented targeted items relative to previous PBC questions and updates pertaining to previous PBC feedback.
- JD reported that the PV numbers \$1.2M are on top of current \$47.5M, held outside of the budget, and being tracked separately as they work with MLP.
- AP presented preliminary value engineering (VE) recommendations
 - o including laminated glazing on interior glass at classrooms
 - o putting wood structure aside until CM is onboard
- TG clarified that damp-proofing the foundation is essential and cautioned on using \$600/sq. foot as many components do not fit that price point.
- DG raised the gymnasium curtain as an area to understand the usage and cost implications so that they
 may discuss impact to use. TG added that, in this example, the usage may include after school and youth
 athletic programs which echoes the need for cost implications and context for programming impact. AP
 agreed to clarify.
- AP VE continued options and SL requested a detailed walk through with cursor for visual guidance:
 - Refine floorplan to 90 degree angles, relocate kitchen/servery, reduces lobby area, relocates media center, reduces 2-story cafetorium space, and brings the electrical room down to the first floor
 - Lower ceiling for quiet lunch space, move table and chair storage to back of stage, entry to cafetorium through either vestibule, stair on SE side pulled in and more space/screening for dumpster
 - Options on location of Media center, Art, and Music include considerations such as: adjacency, access to light, visibility from entry vestibule, acoustics, and access to stairs and elevators. MK inquired about music room shape and low roof reflection into space to which AP responded that their acoustical consultant advised on the music room shape and that they'd advise light gray roofing and no equipment to reduce reflection and acknowledge aesthetic view.
- TG inquired about benchmarking square footage comparatively to other communities to which AP
 responded that this school includes a specialized program (TLC) and basic functions like the elevator take
 up the same square footage which skews the numbers on smaller schools. ShG added that they have a
 spreadsheet of number of classrooms and square footage for the district, they are a bit higher because of
 the specialized programs and gym for Hunnewell, but that they are commensurate with MSBA programs.
- AP indicated that they will further address the wood columns and roof structure when a CM is onboard and they are working on furniture layouts for educational studies.
- MK requested attention to landscaping buffers on the back and side of the building relative to Brook path.
- SL offered appreciation to the design team for tightening up, working a better functioning design, and that she likes the direction it is going. DG echoed those sentiments.
- AO reviewed the 4 options for all electric HVAC systems, conducted refinements on lifecycle costs, and looked at replacement, maintenance, energy, installation costs, and projections for ownership with VRF being the lowest cost estimate. DG asked about the associated risks of each to which AO replied that the main driver is the expected useful life of each system. AO added that VRF doesn't include displacement ventilation which FMD prefers for its efficiency and delivery for occupants and that geothermal appears to be cost prohibitive. VRF relies on refrigerant piping which could leak and FMD has reservations about radiant due to odors/potential repairs and geothermal due to demolition required to fix anything. ShG

- asked about repair access to refrigerant to which AO responded that this school it would run in the ceiling and be accessible like hot water piping.
- TG asked if their costing model were based on buying renewable energy from MLP and AO replied that it is based on current average kWh from MLP.
- DG raised the FMD recommendation letter in their materials which indicates that FMD prefers the base option to which SG noted that the stiff premium for geothermal can't be justified for the benefit of the overall system and that comments from TG and AP raise an interesting concept of a combination of all electric displacement ventilation with perimeter radiant heating hybrid.
- MK expressed concerns about viewing a mechanical system over 50 years and that displacement seems like a better system.
- TG inquired about air change rate to which AO responded that displacement ventilation delivers more efficient CFM (cubic feet per minute) than code.
- SG asked if the Committee had a path they'd like the designers to pursue to which they responded to pursue displacement with perimeter radiant heating and removing geothermal.
- JD reported that they received proposals for commissioning consultants on MEP (mechanical, electrical, plumbing) and Envelope and will bring leveling sheets and recommendations at the next meeting to which the Committee had no questions.
- JD presented the process thus far for selecting the CM at Risk which is currently at RFQ (Request for Qualifications.) The pre-qualification subcommittee reviewed submissions based on philosophy, resumes of dedicated staff, strength of firm, management philosophy, similar project experience, DCAMM update statement, and references from the market. After 2 meetings of review and clarifying references, the subcommittee recommended forward Consigli, Shawmut, and Gilbane to proceed to the RFP (Request for Proposal) and Interview stage. The Committee agreed that the firms were all good options. MT indicated that the review process was thorough and thoughtful, however he was open to the Committee's interest in expanding the pool.
- JD listed the submissions required by the RFPs and how that enabled thorough evaluation, sample work, and the firm's particular approach to the project. JD offered schedule options and the expectation to see how the firms responded to the optional timelines. The Committee questioned providing timelines and preferred for the firms to submit their projected durations.
- The Committee requested inclusion of Agostini based on previous successful work and they agreed to review the top 5 under the assumption they wouldn't have to interview all 5.

It was moved and 2nd to include the top 5 scored firms in the short list for the next phase, it was approved via roll call 6-0.

- KK requested approval to pass on the value engineering list to the estimators and JD added that they will
 be looking to conference on price components. DG agreed and requested impacts when they received the
 lists so that they can make proper evaluations.
- JD provided a copy of the RFP, requested comments, and confirmed that pre-construction is task based but it includes task meetings around permitting support. Comment to be sent to KK directly.

Middle School Building Systems (MSBS)

 SG presented his OPM update sheet and Shawmut's contract as reviewed and approved by Town Counsel. The Committee had no additional final comments.

It was moved and 2nd to approve the pre-construction contract for Shawmut in the amount of \$99,000.00, it was approved via roll call vote 5-0.

- SG requested feedback on the draft of the DRB PowerPoint to which DG noted that a slide referencing existing flues should indicate that they had previously been approved.
- SG and MK clarified that the purpose of an arch flash study is to conduct a hazard analysis and ensure
 safe working perimeters through testing currents through feeders, equipment, and devices. MK confirmed
 that the estimate is in a valid range and it may either be required or preferred by the inspector. SG will
 have Harriman create a more formal proposal for review.
- SG updated that there were robust downloads of documents for various trades.

<u>PBC Administrative Business</u> It was moved and 2nd to approve the minutes from 4/23/20, approved via roll call 5-0. It was moved and 2nd to approve the invoices as presented, approved via roll call 5-0.

The meeting was adjourned at 9:39PM

Respectfully Submitted,

Abbie La Francesca **Projects Assistant**